1 2 3 4 5 6	Michele R. Stafford, Esq. (SBN 172509) George R. Nemiroff, Esq. (SBN 262058) SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2110 San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 882-7900 (415) 882-9287 – Facsimile mstafford@sjlawcorp.com gnemiroff@sjlawcorp.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs	N						
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10		Case No.:						
11	BOARDS OF TRUSTEES OF THE SHEET METAL WORKERS PENSION TRUST OF	COMPLAINT						
12	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA;							
13	SHEET METAL WORKERS LOCAL 104							
14	HEALTH CARE TRUST;							
15	SHEET METAL WORKERS LOCAL 104 SUPPLEMENTAL PENSION FUND;							
16	,							
17	SHEET METAL WORKERS LOCAL 104 VACATION-HOLIDAY SAVINGS FUND;							
18	SHEET METAL WORKERS LOCAL 104 AND							
19	BAY AREA INDUSTRY TRAINING FUND;							
20	RICK WERNER and SEAN O'DONOGHUE,							
21	Trustees; and							
22	SHEET METAL WORKERS INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION LOCAL							
23	UNION 104,							
24	Plaintiffs,							
25	V.							
26	JOSHUA WAYNE ARELLANO dba JIM'S AIR							
27	SYSTEMS, a sole proprietorship.							
28	Defendant.							

-1-COMPLAINT Case No.:

6 ||

Parties

- 1. The Sheet Metal Workers Pension Trust of Northern California, Sheet Metal Workers Local 104 Health Care Trust, Sheet Metal Workers Local 104 Supplemental Pension Fund, Sheet Metal Workers Local 104 Vacation-Holiday Savings Fund, and Sheet Metal Workers Local 104 And Bay Area Industry Training Fund, are employee benefit plans as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") § 3(3), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(3). Plaintiffs Boards of Trustees are the named fiduciaries of Plaintiffs' Trust Funds under ERISA §402(a), 29 U.S.C. §1002(a). Plaintiffs Rick Werner and Sean O'Donoghue are Trustees of the Trust Funds with authority to act on behalf of all Trustees. Plaintiffs Board of Trustees of the Sheet Metal Workers Pension Trust are authorized to bring suit and collect monies for all Plaintiffs, including all other funds to which Defendants are obligated to contribute under the Bargaining Agreements described below. These employee benefit plans and their fiduciaries are together referred to herein as "ERISA Plaintiffs" or "Plaintiffs".
- 2. Sheet Metal Workers International Association Local Union 104 (the "Union") is a labor organization as defined in § 2(5) of the National Labor Relations Act ("NLRA"), 29 U.S.C. §152(5), and is represented by counsel herein for the limited purpose of collecting union dues owing as part of the subject contribution claims of Plaintiffs, and not for any other cause of action. The Union expressly reserves its rights to pursue any other cause of action on its own behalf.
- 3. Joshua Wayne Arellano dba Jim's Air Systems ("Defendant") is a sole proprietorship, and is an employer by virtue of ERISA § 3(5), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(5), and NLRA § 2(2), 29 U.S.C. § 152(2).

Jurisdiction

- 4. Jurisdiction exists in this Court over the claims asserted by ERISA Plaintiffs by virtue of ERISA § 502, 29 U.S.C. § 1132, in that ERISA Plaintiffs seek to enforce the provisions of ERISA and the terms of their plans, seek to enjoin the acts and practices which violate ERISA, seek equitable relief to redress such violations, and seek all other appropriate relief under ERISA.
- 5. Jurisdiction exists in this Court over all the claims by virtue of the Labor Management Relations Act ("LMRA") § 301, 29 U.S.C. § 185, in that Plaintiffs seek to enforce the

6. To the extent jurisdiction over any claim does not exist under ERISA or the LMRA, supplemental jurisdiction exists in this Court over such claims by virtue of 29 U.S.C. § 1367 in that they arise out of a common nucleus of operative facts that form the basis of the federal claims asserted herein, each of which has a substantial ground in federal jurisdiction.

Venue

- 7. Venue is conferred upon this Court by ERISA § 502, 29 U.S.C. § 1132. Where an action is brought under ERISA § 502 in a district court of the United States, it may be brought at Plaintiffs' discretion, in the district where the plan is administered, where the breach took place, or where a defendant resides or may be found, and process may be served in any other district where a defendant resides or may be found. ERISA Plaintiffs' Trust Funds are administered in this district at their principal place of business in San Ramon, California. Thus, jurisdiction and venue are properly grounded with this Court.
- 8. Venue exists in this Court with respect to the claims under LMRA § 301(a), 29 U.S.C. § 185, as this Court has jurisdiction over the parties, as the Union maintains its principal place of business in this district, its duly authorized officers or agents are engaged in representing employee members in this district, and the claims arise in this district.

Intradistrict Assignment

9. The basis for assignment of this action to this court's San Francisco or Oakland Division is that all of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in the County of Contra Costa, where ERISA Plaintiffs' Funds and the Bargained Plans are administered, and where Defendant therefore failed to fulfill its statutory and contractual obligations to Plaintiffs.

Bargaining Agreement

10. Joshua Wayne Arrellano, as the sole owner of Jim's Air Systems, entered into both the Project Labor Agreement between the West Contra Coast Unified School District and Signatory Contra Costa County Building Construction Trades Unions for the Montalvin Manor Elementary School Project, and the Sheet Metal Workers' Local Union No. 104 and Bay Area Industry Training Fund Apprenticeship Subscription Agreement for the same project. In addition, upon

information and belief, Defendant entered into both the Project Labor Agreement between Health Services Department for Contra Costa County and the Signatory Contra Costa County Building Construction Trade Unions for the Martinez Wellness Center Project, and the Sheet Metal Workers' Local Union No. 104 and Bay Area Industry Training Fund Apprenticeship Subscription Agreement for the same project ("Bargaining Agreements").

- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant entered into and/or performed work as a subcontractor of W. A. Thomas Co. on the Project Labor Agreement between Health Services Department for Contra Costa County and the Signatory Contra Costa County Building Construction Trade Unions for the New Crisis Residential Facility Project.
- 12. The Bargaining Agreements, which incorporate the terms of the Trust Agreements establishing the Trust Funds ("Trust Agreements"), require Defendant to make payment of employer contributions to Plaintiffs' Trust Funds, to the union for union dues, and to the other plans more fully described in the Bargaining Agreement and the Plan Documents of the ERISA Trusts. ERISA Plaintiffs are third-party beneficiaries of the Bargaining Agreement.
- 13. Under the terms of the Bargaining Agreements, and the Trust Agreements incorporated therein, Defendant is required to pay certain contributions to: the Sheet Metal Workers National Pension Plan, International Training Institute, National Energy Management Institute Committee, Contractor Association Industry Fund, Sheet Metal Workers Local 104 Health Care Trust, Sheet Metal Workers Local 104 Supplemental Health Care Plan, Sheet Metal Workers Local 104 Union Dues Check-Off, Sheet Metal Occupational Health Institute Trust, and the SMACNA Industry Fund (collectively referred to herein as the "Bargained Plans"). Plaintiffs' Boards of Trustees have been authorized to collect and distribute monies due to the Bargained Plans under the Bargaining Agreements and Trust Agreements.
- 14. Under the Bargaining Agreement, and the Trust Agreements incorporated therein, Defendant is required to regularly pay to ERISA Plaintiffs, the Bargained Plans, and the Union, certain sums of money, the amounts of which are determined by the hours worked by Defendant's employees. Contributions are due on the twenty-second (22nd) day of the month following the month during which hours were worked, and are considered delinquent if not received by that day.

Also under the terms of the Bargaining Agreement, and the Trust Agreements incorporated therein, Defendant is required to pay liquidated damages for each delinquent contribution payment, and interest on unpaid contributions from the delinquent date until paid, at the rates set by the Bargaining Agreement and Trust Agreements. Finally, the Bargaining Agreement and the Trust Agreements require Defendant to reimburse Plaintiffs for attorneys' fees and costs incurred in relation to the collection of Defendant's delinquent contributions.

15. The Bargaining and Trust Agreements further require Defendant to maintain time records or time cards, and to permit an authorized Trust Fund representative to examine such records of Defendant as is necessary to determine whether Defendant has made full payment of all sums owed to ERISA Plaintiffs. Should an audit of Defendant's records reveal Defendant has failed to provide full and prompt payment of all sums due to Plaintiffs, Defendant must reimburse Plaintiffs for the amounts due, including audit fees, in addition to any other obligations pursuant to the Bargaining and Trust Agreements.

Factual Allegations

- 16. Defendant has failed and refused to comply with an audit of its payroll records for the period from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2015.
- 17. Plaintiffs are also entitled to recover any and all contributions, and all liquidated damages and interest on delinquent contributions, found due on timecards, further audit, or otherwise, including estimated contributions for any months Defendant failed to report to Plaintiffs, through the time of Judgment. Plaintiffs reserve the right to conduct a further audit to determine whether there are any additional amounts due from Defendant.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

For Audit Compliance, Payment of Delinquent Contributions, Interest, Liquidated Damages, Attorneys' Fees and Costs Against Defendant

- 18. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 17, above.
- 19. Defendant has a contractual duty to timely pay the required contributions to Plaintiffs and the Bargained Plans, and to timely pay dues to the Union, pursuant to the Bargaining Agreement and Trust Agreements. Defendant also has a contractual duty under the Bargaining Agreement, and Trust Agreements incorporated therein, to permit an audit of its records to

-5-COMPLAINT Case No.: determine whether it is making full and prompt payment of all sums required to be paid by it to Plaintiffs, and to pay Plaintiffs all amounts found due as a result of an audit, including audit fees.

- 20. In addition, Defendant has a statutory duty to timely make the required payments to Plaintiffs under ERISA § 515, 29 U.S.C. § 1145, and LMRA § 301(a).
- 21. By refusing to permit an audit of its records, Defendant breached the Bargaining Agreement and is in violation of ERISA § 515, 29 U.S.C. § 1145, and LMRA § 301(a).
- 22. Defendant's failure and refusal to permit the audit and pay the required contributions was at all times, and still is, willful. Defendant continues to breach the Bargaining Agreement, and incorporated Trust Agreements, by failing to permit the audit and pay all amounts owed as alleged. Said refusal is unjustified and done with knowledge and intent.
- 23. ERISA Plaintiffs are without an adequate remedy at law and will suffer continuing and irreparable injury, loss and damage unless Defendant is ordered specifically to perform all obligations required on Defendant's part to be performed under ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1101-1381, the LMRA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 141-197, and the Bargaining and Trust Agreements, and are restrained from continuing to refuse to perform as required thereunder.
- 24. This Court is authorized to issue injunctive relief based on the traditional standard. As set forth above, ERISA Plaintiffs have a strong likelihood of success on the merits. There is the possibility that ERISA Plaintiffs' Trust Funds and their participants will suffer irreparable injuries. The balance of hardships and advancement of public interest favor ERISA Plaintiffs.
- 25. This Complaint does not in any manner relate to statutory withdrawal liability that may or may not be assessed against Defendant. ERISA Plaintiffs expressly reserve the right to pursue any such withdrawal liability claims against Defendant as provided by ERISA Plaintiffs' Plan Documents, Trust Agreements, and the law.

Prayer

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray as follows:

1. For an order,

1		(a)	requiring that Defendant comply with its obligations to Plaintiffs under the					
2	terms of the Bargaining Agreements and the Trust Agreements, including permitting an audit of its							
3	records as requested by Plaintiffs;							
4		(b)	enjoining Defendant from violating the terms of those documents and of					
5	ERISA; and							
6		(c)	enjoining Defendant from disposing of any assets until said terms have been					
7	complied with	n, and	from continuation or operation of Defendant's business until said terms have					
8	been complied with.							
9	2. For a judgment against Defendant as follows:							
10		(a)	Any unpaid contributions, due at time of Judgment, including those					
11	determined as	s due	by audit, timecards, or otherwise, including estimated contributions for any					
12	months Defer	ndant	fails to report to Plaintiffs pursuant to ERISA § 502(g)(2)(A), 29 U.S.C. §					
13	1132(g)(2)(A));						
14			i. To ERISA Plaintiffs and the Bargained Plans, in accordance with					
15	ERISA § 502(g)(2)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(A) and the Bargaining Agreements;							
16			ii. To the Union in accordance with the Bargaining Agreements.					
17		(b)	Liquidated damages on all late-paid and unpaid contributions in an amount					
18	provided for under the Bargaining and Trust Agreements, and with respect to ERISA Plaintiffs,							
19	ERISA § 502(g)(2)(c), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(c).							
20		(c)	Interest on all late-paid and unpaid contributions at the rates set in					
21	accordance w	ith th	e Bargaining Agreements, the Trust Agreements, and ERISA § 502(g)(2)(B),					
22	29 U.S.C. § 1	132(g))(2)(B).					
23	3.	Plair	ntiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of this action, including any audit fees,					
24	in accordance	with	ERISA § 502(g)(2)(D) and (E), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(D) and (E); and in					
25	accordance with the Bargaining Agreements for all Bargained Plans; and with LMRA § 301,							
26	29 U.S.C. § 185, for all Plaintiffs.							
27	4. T	hat the	e Court retain jurisdiction of this case pending compliance with its orders.					

///

Case 4:18-cv-00995-KAW Document 1 Filed 02/15/18 Page 8 of 8

1	5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.									
3		15 2010								
4	Dated: Febru	ary 15, 2018			SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION					
5				By:	/S/					
6					George R. Nemiroff Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
7 8										
9										
10										
11										
12 13										
14										
15										
16										
17 18										
19										
20										
21										
22 23										
23 24										
25										
26										
27										

-8-COMPLAINT Case No.: